

**LONDON GROVE TOWNSHIP  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
MEETING MINUTES  
May 2, 2018 – 7:00 P.M.**

---

**MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Richard Scott-Harper  
David Connors  
John Lee Irwin  
Stephen Zurl  
Thomas Szakas

**STAFF PRESENT:**

Ken Battin, Township Manager  
Shane Kinsey, Director of Public Works  
Dawn Maciejczyk, Township Secretary  
45 Audience Members

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Mr. Scott-Harper led the audience in the pledge of allegiance.

**CALL TO ORDER:**

Mr. Scott-Harper called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. He announced that the next regular scheduled meeting will take place on June 6, 2018 at 7:00 p.m., the deadline for agenda items will be noon on May 30, 2018. Mr. Scott-Harper also announced that after discussing with the other Board members, the agenda would be changed around tonight to accommodate some of the residents that are here to discuss certain topics.

**Barbacane, Thornton & Company LLP, Audit Review- Jeff Kaowalczyk**

Mr. Kaowalczyk, Barbacane, Thornton & Company LLP, said we were here in March of 2018 to conduct the annual financial audit for year end December 31, 2017. There are two sets of financial statements that we present to you. One is a compliance regulatory report which goes to the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development and we are pleased to report that was completed and filed prior to the April 1<sup>st</sup> deadline. The second is a cash basis financial statement which is used for compliance with debt holders and we are pleased to report that has also been issued.

Mr. Kaowalczyk said he is pleased to say this year the Township had a year that was very consistent with the budgeted expectations, as always you budget for an even year in the General Fund with the revenues to expenditures, and this year you are within \$3,400.00 on a \$3.5 million budget.

Mr. Kaowalczyk said he is please to report they did not find any issues with the internal controls, there were no exceptions identified and no material weaknesses or deficiencies. Mr. Scott-Harper asked is the Township in a position with our assets to start looking at possible going Gatsby instead of cash? Mr. Kaowalczyk answered, it's something you could consider, but the biggest challenge to switching to a Gatsby basis is historical information about Capital Assets. I don't know off hand what the historical records are for your Capital Assets to know. Mr. Scott-Harper asked, are we okay if we don't? Mr. Kaowalczyk answered, if you don't that's correct, your debt is with organizations that do not request Gatsby compliance statements. If you were to have a project where you went out for a new debt instrument, there is a chance someone could want that, but it really depends on what the market looks like at that time. It's not something that we are seeing being required, it might help the Township to get a better rate. Mr. Scott-Harper thanked Mr. Kaowalczyk for his report.

**Inniscrone Drive Speed Discussion**

Steve Edwards, HOA representative for the Preserve at Inniscrone, said we have over the last several years spoke with a couple of Township Managers, back to Mr. Brown and recently Mr. Battin, regarding adding speed bumps and adding stop signs in our neighborhood. A lot of residents in our community are very concerned with the speeding on this road. Recently we had an 8<sup>th</sup> grader who was taken to the ER for stiches after being hit and the person took off, which is very concerning

to us. We have been reporting this now for four years. When we first moved into the community, we were told that the Township had approved a retirement community on the lower end, which is the Enclave and there would be a round about there to help control the traffic flow. We have a through way from State Road to East Avondale Road. We have some stop signs but not really on Inniscrone Drive. We are asking for all the roads that run parallel to Inniscrone Drive to have two-way stop signs. We truly need to control the traffic. In addition to that, we are asking for an additional set of speed bumps which I will provide where we are asking for those locations.

Overall, we are really looking for some action. It's a little disappointing that over 4 years we have had this issue and the responses we have received going back to Mr. Brown, quite frankly we feel he did not respond well to us. Talking with Mr. Battin I feel like he has tried to provide some answers which we appreciate. I will tell you though, some of the answers kind of conflict with what we see in there.

Currently, we have islands in our neighborhood that when they were being installed I was there, its in front of my home, and we talked with the person who paid \$30,000 and they were willing to put speed bumps in and pay for them. He said, in front of me, while the Township was present, it's disappointing that we were asking, and it didn't get changed back then. Regardless of that, some of the things we were told on why they couldn't be done is due to plows being damaged, which we understand, and these islands are being hit by the plows anyway. We recently had a member of the community come to a meeting and ask why we can't have these and they were told it would push the traffic off closer to the sidewalks, and that is what the island traffic calming devices are doing anyway. It has become an obstacle course in our neighborhood, what we are looking for is some control in the neighborhood.

63 Inniscrone Drive, a month ago, had a party and two cars were parked out in front of their home. A person smashed into the back of a parked car. Regardless if it was a car or a kid, the person wasn't paying attention. Yesterday, we had an adult leaving the bus stop and the adult tripped and fell in the road. The car behind them stopped to help them while another car speed around them. We have a lot of people in the community that are very passionate about what we are asking for. We are asking you for help. We have reached out to some engineers who have said its more of a hazard with the calming devices that we have now. We would like those removed. It seems like they were an after thought once Inniscrone Drive was changed from a round about to a through way. We have a lot of passion in our community around our children. On Inniscrone Drive alone we have over 100 kids just on that road. There's a lot of tax payers money going into it. One thing I personally heard a year ago when I called was about funding. Our HOA is willing to help if it's the cost of speed bumps and stop signs. We feel our tax money should pay for that, but we are very willing to help, and we are adamant about this being done.

Mr. Scott-Harper asked, the 8<sup>th</sup> grader that got hit and taken to the ER, was he in the road, did the car come off the roadway? Mr. Edwards answered, he was in the road, the family is willing to provide the police report as well as the medical records. Mr. Connors asked, is the child ok? Mr. Edwards answered, the child got 8 stiches and is back home now.

Resident Amanda said, we are a neighborhood of young families and there are a lot of residents from our neighborhood who can't be here tonight because they are home putting their kids to bed right now. I started a petition on Sunday, and we have 128 signatures from both neighborhoods supporting adding some traffic calming devices being installed in our neighborhood to reduce speeds, and to also help curtail the cut through traffic. Mr. Irwin asked, are you seeing an increase in cut throughs because of the bridge? Amanda answered, the cut throughs were happening before the bridge and it has definitely gotten worse with the State Road bridge being out. Mr. Scott-Harper said, just so you understand, you can not stop the cut through traffic. Amanda answered, I know that but if we have measures in place that prevent people from wanting to cut through that's great. Mr. Scott-Harper said I understand, but they do have a right to take that road. Amanda said sure. The petition is not electronic, we went door to door and it took a lot of effort from our neighbors. This is just a representation behind the change that we need, and hopefully you get the point from it.

39 Inniscrone Drive Mr. Mike McGarvey said, a week ago I had an altercation out in the

street with an Amazon Driver who was speeding upwards of 50 mph through the neighborhood. I stopped him in the middle of the street and had an altercation which sparked me because I am tired of people speeding through. I have 2 children who like to play in the front yard, next year they will be taking the bus, so I decided to do my own random time sample of speeding through out the community. There is a speed limit sign in front of my house that only gets the traffic going Southbound, and I have a camera on the front of my house where I am able to see the sign. I took some samples from that and have 4 days of data since last week. Also, I purchased a speed radar gun and Amanda has used it at her house in the afternoons, and I have used it in the mornings. Just from this morning alone, 67 cars went through the neighborhood between 7 and 8 a.m. Out of the 67 cars, 24 were going over the posted 25 mph, 9 were going over 30 mph. 27% of the cars in 1 hour were going over the posted speed limit. That's concerning! Between 1:50 p.m. and 3p.m., 12 cars out of 46 were going over 25 mph, and 3 over 30 mph. 26% over the posted speed limit. 6-8 p.m. 87 cars, 23 were over 25 mph, and 4 over 30 mph.

Mr. Irwin asked, when canvassing your neighborhood, you must see some neighbors who are guilty of this? Amanda responded, absolutely, we know the cars that live in our neighborhood that speed and we yell at them. We don't feel safe doing that anymore because people have now been in confrontations. Mr. Szakas asked, have you called the State Police? Amanda answered, yes, we have offered for them to sit in our garage and driveway. Mr. Scott-Harper said, January 21, 2018 after our December meeting and having a conversation with some of your residents, we contacted Sergeant Creighton at the State Police and he assured us that they have two things in place. Every shift at roll call they emphasize that each patrol car must go through there once in their shift, and there is a CAD system in their car that reminder them to go through there. Sergeant Creighton went down there one time himself personally and did not see anything. Mr. Edwards said, today the police were out there, and I did walk up and thank them on behalf of the neighborhood.

Mr. Scott-Harper said, the State Police have an extremely large area, and they just took on York County as well. My gut reaction is speed bumps are going to be a problem. We went through this with another development. We had an on slot of people come in and request the speed bumps, the previous Board put them in. Shortly after they were installed the other side came in and said take them out, we hate them. What I'm thinking is, I would like to set up a special meeting with just Inniscrone residents, the Board of Supervisors, our traffic consultant, and the State Police to see if we can find a solution that works for everyone. Mr. Edwards said, with the weather breaking how fast can we make this happen? Mr. Scott-Harper answered, it can happen the last week in May or in June. Mr. Edwards said, the last week in May sounds great. The discussion continued...

## **I. PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mr. Scott-Harper asked for public comment. There was none.

## **II. CORRECTION/APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The meeting minutes of April 4, 2018 were reviewed and amended as follows,

Mr. Zurl asked that we add his questions about the traffic study under the Conditional Use Hearing. "Mr. Zurl asked if the traffic study was conducted during the summer months or the winter months? Mr. Jaros answered that the traffic study was completed in December 2017. Mr. Zurl asked so it doesn't include shore traffic? Mr. Jaros responded no, it does not consider shore traffic, that is correct."

Mr. Connors made a motion to approve the meeting minutes as amended, seconded by Mr. Szakas and approved by a vote of 5-0.

### **III. REPORTS FOR APRIL 2018**

#### **Inniscrone Golf Course**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed the monthly report prepared by Mr. Tom Bolko, General Manager of Inniscrone Golf Course. There were no questions from the Board.

#### **Codes Department**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed the Codes Department report, prepared by Mrs. Zunino, Mr. White and Mr. Weer. There were no questions from the Board.

#### **Public Works Department**

Mr. Kinsey presented the Public Works Department report. Mr. Scott-Harper asked it is written in your report about picking up the dumped couch and such items on Raymond Ave., what can we do to deter this from turning into a dump? Mr. Kinsey answered, anything simple is not going to work. A light will not make a difference because that area is already pretty well lit. Mr. Scott-Harper said, I will call Sergeant Creighton from the Avondale State Police Barracks and ask him to add this to his patrol to watch for dumpers.

Mr. Zurl commented that he went to the Park Clean Up Volunteer Day a couple of weeks ago, and he just wanted to say that the Public Works guys do a top-notch job. The park was clean and nice. It was minimal work for volunteers, so thank you. Mr. Szakas said, I see in your report that you had to do some work on the bathrooms at the park, was that due to vandalism? Mr. Kinsey answered, some of it was vandalism and some was just routine maintenance.

#### **Finance Department**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed the Financial Report prepared by Ms. Guenther. Mr. Connors made a motion to approve the Finance Report as presented, seconded by Mr. Zurl and approved by a vote of 5-0.

#### **DEP Odor Report**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed the Odor Report prepared by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). There were no questions from the Board.

#### **Pennsylvania State Police Report**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed the Pennsylvania State Police Report for the month of March. There was a total of 362 incidents, which is a big jump from 189 in February.

#### **Monthly Emergency Services Report**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed the Monthly Emergency Services Report. There were no questions from the Board.

#### **Southern Chester County Emergency Medical Services Report**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed the Southern Chester County Emergency Medical Services Report. There were no questions from the Board.

#### **Monthly Right-to-Know Report**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed that there were four (4) Right-to-Know request for the month of April. There were no questions from the Board.

### **IV. HEARING**

### **V. FIRST BUSINESS**

## **VI. OLD BUISNESS**

### **Stone Croft Trail Paving Bid Award**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo prepared by Mr. Kinsey regarding the Stone Croft trail paving award. Mr. Kinsey reviewed that as authorized in February this project was bid through PennBid, and a formal bid opening was held at 10: 15 a.m. on April 23, 2018. Mr. Kinsey is recommending awarding the low bid to James R. Kenny Excavation and Paving, Inc. for \$52,033.00, conditioned on receiving approval from the Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED) through the Greenways, Trails and Recreational Program Grant, and receiving approval from the Stone Croft Homeowners Association (HOA) for the revised 15% match.

Mr. Zurl made a motion to award the bid to James R. Kenny Excavation and Paving, Inc. for \$52,033.00, conditioned on receiving approval from the DCED and through the Greenways, Trails and Recreational Program Grant, and receiving approval from the Stone Croft HOA for the revised 15% match as outlined in Mr. Kinsey's April 24, 2018 memo, seconded by Mr. Irwin and approved by a vote of 5-0.

### **London Croft Trail Paving Bid Award**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo prepared by Mr. Kinsey regarding the London Croft trail paving award. Mr. Kinsey reviewed that as authorized in February this project was bid through PennBid, and a formal bid opening was held at 10:00 a.m. on April 23, 2018. Mr. Kinsey is recommending awarding the low bid to James R. Kenny Excavation and Paving, Inc. for \$64,000.00, conditioned on receiving approval from the DCED through the Greenways, Trails and Recreational Program Grant, and receiving approval from the London Croft HOA for the revised 15% match.

Mr. Zurl made a motion to award the bid to James R. Kenny Excavation and Paving, Inc. for \$64,000.00, conditioned on receiving approval from the DCED through the Greenways, Trails and recreational Program Grant, and receiving approval from the London Croft HOA for the revised 15% match as outlined in Mr. Kinsey's April 24, 2018 memo, seconded by Mr. Irwin and approved by a vote of 5-0.

### **State Road Guiderail Bid Award**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo prepared by Mr. Kinsey regarding the State Road Guiderail bid award. Mr. Kinsey reviewed that as authorized in February this project was bid through PennBid, and a formal bid opening was held at 10:30 a.m. on April 23, 2018. Mr. Kinsey is recommending that the Board reject the bid from M.L. Ruberton Construction Co. Inc. in the amount of \$71,800.00, given the cost and the fact that only 1 bid was received.

Mr. Kinsey is recommending that the grading portion of the project be completed in house as originally planned, and a formal engineering estimate be prepared by McMahon Engineering. The project could then be bid at a later date.

Mr. Connors made a motion to reject the bid from M.L. Ruberton Construction Co. Inc. in the amount of \$71,800.00, given the cost and the fact that only 1 bid was received, as outlined in Mr. Kinsey's memo dated April 24, 2018, seconded by Mr. Zurl and approved by a vote of 5-0.

## **VII. NEW BUISNESS**

### **Avon Grove Little League Proposed Field Lights Discussion- Paul Christensen**

Mr. Paul Christensen, 5 Worthington Drive, said, I have several residents here with me tonight who would like to "way in" on the lights at the Avon Grove Little League field. It is my understanding that in order for the Avon Grove Little League to put lights up they would need to seek a variance to do so. Mr. Scott-Harper said, there are two sets of lights, one was a low light for the batting cage, which meets the zoning requirements for London Grove Township. They were issued a temporary permit for that. That permit has expired, if they would like to use those lights again in the fall they need to come back again and apply for another temporary permit. The permanent lights that would stay there are the ones that they would have to request a variance for

because of the height of them. Mr. Christensen said, the permanent lights are the ones I am talking about. It is our understanding that a representative of the Avon Grove Little League has come to the Board of Supervisors about the permanent light and they are in the planning phase.

Mr. Christensen asked Mr. Scott-Harper to explain the variance procedure, and what steps are required and what Boards it has to go to? Mr. Scott-Harper answered first, there are two documents that the Township goes by. There is the Zoning Ordinance and the Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance (SALDO), anything that is in SALDO the Board of Supervisors make waivers for. Anything that is in the Zoning Ordinance, if they want relief from that they have to go to the Zoning Hearing Board. The Zoning Hearing Board has five criteria that the applicant must prove to get the relief. 1. There has to be unique physical characteristics and conditions on that property, shallowness of lot size and such. 2. Because of such physical circumstances or conditions there is no possibility that the property can be developed in conformity. 3. That such unnecessary hardship has been created by the apelet. 4. That the variances authorized will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or district in which the property is located. 5. That the variance if authorized will represent the minimum variance that will afforded relief and will represent the least amount of modification possible of the regulation issue. Mr. Scott-Harper said, that these are the warrants they have to convince the Zoning Hearing Board of which is an independent Board from the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Christensen asked, the Zoning Hearing Board can approve or deny them? Mr. Scott-Harper answered, correct, they would approve or deny them. Mr. Christensen asked, is that the final decision? Mr. Scott-Harper said that is the decision, the applicant if they do not like the Zoning Hearing Boards decision, they may appeal it to the Court of Common Pleas. Any resident that is affected by this may become a party to the hearing and there are certain legal rights that you get granted if you are a party. You can participate, you can present testimony from expert witnesses, you can cross examine witnesses. You also get notification of any appeals or you can be a party and just listen. You can also just come and listen and not be a party. Those are the options that are available to you as a resident. Either party can contest it and the Board of Supervisors can also be a party to the hearing. Technically we could appeal to the Court of Common Pleas if we didn't like the decision that was made by our Zoning Hearing Board, I doubt that would ever happen. Mr. Christensen said, it doesn't go to the Board of Supervisors at all? Mr. Scott-Harper said, not for the variances, no. It gets presented to us that they have requested a variance and we just like any resident can become a party to it. The SALDO issues go to the London Grove Township Planning Commission, if there is anything in the Subdivision and Land Development Ordinance the Planning Commission then makes a recommendation to this Board as to whether there is a waiver that should be granted or not. This Board would then make that decision. That's the SALDO, they are two separate sets of regulations. Mr. Christensen said, this falls under the Zoning Ordinance. Mr. Scott-Harper said, correct, because of the height, once you get over 20 feet then that kicks it over to the Zoning Ordinance and that has to be granted by the Zoning Hearing Board.

Mr. Christensen asked, so besides for the height they have to conform to all the other variances? Mr. Scott-Harper said yes, unless they request relief from them as well. Mr. Scott-Harper said for example, you can request height, you can request field of vision (width of the lights). There are standards in our Lighting Ordinance and if they can't meet them, then they can, as a property owner, seek relief from the Zoning Hearing Board.

Mr. Brian Paul, 7 Worthington Drive, said I know you said that the temporary lights meet all the requirements and it did meet for the height, but I have some of your ordinances here in my hand highlighted that I would like to present that it didn't seem to us that it meets. Mr. Scott-Harper said you can present it, but our Zoning Officer went out at night and measured it and his opinion was that it satisfies the ordinance. You are free to disagree, but I'm telling you that he is our official, and he is the one that said it meets it. Mr. Paul said, for the record I would just like to state he did not ever once come on to the adjoining properties to see any of the light thrown off. Mr. Irwin did come back and see it, but the Zoning Officer did not. Mr. Scott-Harper said, please understand light throw off is a specific term its not that you can't see it. Mr. Paul said, I will read the specific terms in the London Grove Township Ordinance.

In general, we are supportive as a community of the Little League, there are many of us that have or have had children that play in the Little League. We do ask that the temporary permit get revoked and that they are not issued it again in the fall. We are against any lighting that would increase playing time in the evening hours, due to negative effects it would cause to the quality of life for the residents in proximity to the fields, as well as the increased noise and traffic. We also feel, that the lights that were up were not compliant and the future lights would not be compliant due to the following: all outdoor lighting is not to create a nuisance by projecting or reflecting objectional light on the neighboring use of property. Mr. Scott-Harper said, that is subjective, that's where our Code Officer and residents are probably going to disagree. Mr. Paul said, he never stepped foot onto our properties, he was only on the Little League and in the batting cages. He did say that the lights that were there did not conform because they did not have any baffles on. He specifically said that we should not have run a test that did not have baffles. It also states that all lights should not reflect beams into the windows of the neighboring residents adjacent and we didn't feel it meet this ordinance. The following outdoor recreation facilities shall not be illuminated if located within any residential district within 1200 feet of the residential use, that includes basketball, baseball, which is the Little League, football, soccer, miniature golf and track. Its right in the Ordinance, I'm not sure what they were looking at when they said it complied. These are the Ordinances that are listed. Furthermore, the lights that were being tested under current permit in addition didn't comply with the 2017 Little League Lighting Standards and Safety Ordinance. This states that the polls should be located outside of the fences and that the parking areas and any areas of passage should be lighted. If they light the batting cages how are they getting to the parking lot safely where there are no lights? Its dark, so that would mean that they must install additional lights in the parking lot. Again, we just ask that the permits be revoked and not reissued again in the fall and we are here to voice our opposition to any lights in our rural London Grove Township, so we can see the stars. Mr. Scott-Harper said we are in London Grove Township, we have a Golf Driving Range that has lights, we have a High School field that has lights on it and we have Little League that wants to play.

A resident said, why do they have to play past 9:00 p.m. at night? Mr. Scott-Harper said, I will recognize you but right now I am discussing with Mr. Paul. Mr. Scott-Harper said they are a land owner too and they have rights as well. There are two separate issues, the temporary lights are one issue, and the permanent lights is another issue. Mr. Paul said the temporary lights are still not compliant. Mr. Scott-Harper asked Mr. Battin, what's the venue when residents disagree with a decision by our Zoning Officer that they can formally go through? Mr. Battin said, this is it. Mr. Scott-Harper asked Mr. Paul if he has discussed the ordinances with the Manager, your specific concerns? Mr. Paul answered yes, we have been in contact with Mr. Battin through email and having phone conversations. Mr. Battin said we did not give final approval yet. We did issue the temporary permit and we did highlight that there are still things that need to be completed for that light to comply. We knew the lights could not meet the Zoning Ordinance the way they were, that's why we said about the baffles and directional lighting. That's why this permit was temporary and there were conditions placed on it instead of going through and trying to get a lighting design engineer go out and try to figure it out. It was more beneficial for us to go out and do a test. There were baffles that were supposed to be put on it for the next test that were not done. I'm not sure if the light was removed at this point or if it is still being used. If the applicant does come in again in the fall for another temporary permit, then we know there are these certain conditions that need to be met. Mr. Paul said we are members of this neighborhood and we are asking that if they do get another temporary permit that it is not snuck up on us and just turned on, that we get some sort of notification before this happens.

Mr. Irwin said, I completely agree with you Mr. Paul and we are going to continue to communicate with you, I have been in communication with Mr. Christensen as well. The baffle issue was a problem because you need something long enough and wide enough to contain the light but that would take something with some weight and its not really a load bearing unit and its brand new, so I didn't want to incur any kind of cost. We tried some cardboard and some old signs, and some other things and they didn't really work. So, we shut it down and got rid of it. Mr. Scott-

Harper said to Mr. Paul please send those highlighted Ordinances to Mr. Battin and they will review them. Please add your contact information, so that he can get a reply to you.

Resident said, I agree with what Mr. Paul and Mr. Christensen have said. The lights do not meet the Ordinance and our property backs up to the baseball field. We just don't understand how kids can play baseball from dawn to dusk, which is 9:00 p.m. and they need additional time to play past that. Those are my only concerns.

3 Worthington Drive, Mr. James McNicholas, said we just want to make sure that you guys understand our point of view. We encourage youth to play sports, we think it is very beneficial. What we don't want is it to impact our quality of life as well as our property value. We are asking the Board of Supervisors to let the Zoning Hearing Board know that we prefer for it to be a dawn to dusk facility. I have lived in this Township for 24 years, I have been a good neighbor. I have chased the teenagers off the fields smoking pot and underage drinking, people going through with snow mobiles, dirt bikes and, ATV's racing around, as well as fireworks being set off. Every so often this topic comes up and we just want to express we are not against the Little League, but we are against anything beyond dawn to dusk.

15 Worthington Drive, Mr. Timothy O'Neal said, it also produces more traffic coming through. A lot of people walk up through our yards to enter the premises. There is garbage in my backyard from it. I have a little girl who is going to be 7 and I have to worry about what she is going to come across. We all know that heroin is in the area and we have people going out there and smoking and drinking. What is going to stop people from walking through especially if they have light to walk up to those woods and start shooting up or something and leave needle's laying around. It's kind of frustrating when you walk up there, and you see water bottles and trash. This is going to be more of an issue when no one is there to watch, and the lights are still on.

Mr. McNichols said, I have one follow up comment, I would ask Mr. Battin to come out and look at the light by the snack shop. It has been there 29 years and it is not in conformity with the lighting ordinance code. When all the leaves come off the trees it shines right into my house all night long. Mr. Scott-Harper said, you said the light has been there 29 years? Mr. McNicholas said yes, and Mr. Robert Weer pointed that out to us and that it was not in compliance. Mr. Scott-Harper said yes, but if the light was there before the Ordinance then it is grandfathered in. A resident said it is a newer light that they installed, there was some vandalism over there and the light was installed after that.

### **2018 Fuel**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo prepared by Mr. Kinsey regarding the 2018 Fuel. Mr. Kinsey reviewed that on March 27, 2018 the Southern Chester County Co-Op opened bids for fuel. The low bidder for fuel was PAPCO Inc. of Aston, PA. Fuel is bid off a "Rack Differential". Below shows the Rack Differential bid, followed by our cost for fuel at the time of bid.

Gasoline- 87 Octane	\$1.7789	(2.0589)
Diesel Fuel- On Road	\$1.9488	(2.2388)
Diesel Fuel-Off Road	\$1.9667	(2.2567)

Mr. Kinsey is recommending a motion to award the fuel bid to PAPCO, Inc. for the unit prices as listed.

Mr. Connors made a motion to award the fuel bid to PAPCO, Inc for the unit prices as listed as outlined in Mr. Kinsey's memo dated April 25, 2018, seconded by Mr. Zurl and approved by a vote of 5-0.

### **Surplus Item Sale**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo prepared by Mr. Kinsey regarding several surplus items which he is recommending the sale of through Municibid, as identified below. Mr. Kinsey is seeking authorization to place these items up for auction on Municibid as the Township has done in the past. At the end of the auction the Board will have the opportunity to review and accept or

reject any bids. As done in the past Mr. Kinsey is recommending any funds from the auction be placed in the equipment replacement fund.

- Lot of traffic light equipment (4 flashers, 2 flasher cabinets)
- 50-gallon truck mount gas tank
- 3 head metal halide light unit with post
- 5'x7' modular wood deck
- 7'x7' modular wood deck
- Lot of 19 banquet chairs (Golf Course)
- Lot of Misc. display racks (Golf Course)
- Lot of Misc. computers and electronics (Golf Course)
- Large Beverage Air Cooler (Golf Course)
- Small Beverage Air Cooler (Golf Course)
- Steam Washer (previously listed on Municibid)
- Tailgate roller spreader
- Steel Tailgate spreader
- Stainless Tailgate spreader
- 25 KW LP Generator
- Diamond/ORSI boom mower
- 2008 B&W/Decanter Speed Trailer (bad computer, previously listed on Municibid)
- 2004 F-550 With Spreader & Plow (Rotted Frame, previously listed on Municibid)
- 2004 Freightliner M2 with Spreader, wing & plow (previously listed on Municibid)
- 2009 TL Industries travel trailer
- 1999 Custom Deck Over Trailer
- Office Phones

Mr. Zurl made a motion to approve placing the above items on Municibid for auction as outlined in Mr. Kinsey's memo dated April 24, 2018, seconded by Mr. Irwin and approved by a vote of 5-0.

### **Summer Intern**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo prepared by Mr. Battin regarding the hiring of at least one, but not more than two Summer Interns. Mr. Battin explained that the Interns would be used to assist in the various Departments with tasks that have been put on hold or have been placed on the back burner because of lack of available time. The task could include; filing, assist with Fire Inspections, GIS mapping support, documenting trails or other areas as needed. The Intern(s) would be limited to 24 hours per week per person and would work during summer break.

Mr. Connors asked if having one of the Interns work on finding missing Earned Income Tax (EIT) money would be a good task? Mr. Battin said that is something we may be able to add to the list.

Mr. Connors made a motion to approve the hiring of at least one, but not more than two Summer Interns, as outlined in Mr. Battin's memo dated April 26, 2018, seconded by Mr. Zurl and approved by a vote of 5-0.

### **Public Works Foreman**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo prepared by Mr. Battin regarding a Public Works Foreman position. Mr. Battin requested that the Board of Supervisors authorize the hiring process for the position of Public Works Foreman. The intent would be to post the position internally, evaluate the potential candidates and then present a suitable candidate to the Board of Supervisors for approval. This position would be a promotion and not an increase in staffing.

Mr. Zurl made a motion to authorize the posting internally for a Public Works Foreman as outlined in Mr. Battin's memo dated April 26, 2018, seconded by Mr. Connors and approved by a vote of 5-0.

**VIII. LAND DEVELOPMENT**

**PLANS:**

**Hills of London Grove Escrow Release #8 Phase 3A**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo from Mr. Ragan regarding escrow release #8 Phase 3A. Mr. Ragan is recommending the release of \$33,308.50.

Mr. Connors made a motion to approve escrow release #8 Phase 3A in the amount of \$33,308.50 as outlined in Mr. Ragan’s memo dated April 26, 2018, seconded by Mr. Zurl and approved by a vote of 5-0.

**Hills of London Grove Escrow Release #8 Phase 3B**

Mr. Scott-Harper reviewed a memo from Mr. Ragan regarding escrow release #8 Phase 3B. Mr. Ragan is recommending the release of \$147,020.05.

Mr. Connors made a motion to approve escrow release #8 Phase 3B in the amount of \$147,020.05 as outlined in Mr. Ragan’s memo dated April 26, 2018, seconded by Mr. Zurl and approved by a vote of 5-0.

**X. PUBLIC COMMENT: (NON-ACTION ITEMS)**

Mr. Scott-Harper asked for public comment. Mr. Bill Grandizio asked Mr. Scott-Harper if there is a no parking on the streets ordinance in place. Mr. Scott-Harper answered yes. Mr. Grandizio then stated that the cars that were hit by speeders or people not paying attention on Inniscrone Drive were illegally parked correct? Mr. Scott-Harper answered yes, I believe you are correct. Mr. Scott-Harper said the State Police will not enforce parking in the Township. The Board is in the process however of setting up a work session in June with the Codes Department, the Township Traffic Engineer and the State Police.

**IX. ADDENDUM ITEMS**

**X. EXTENSIONS- NO ACTION NEEDED**

Development	Application	Date Received	90 Day Expiration	Planning Commission Approved
Needham Farms	Preliminary	March 15, 2005	August 22, 2018	
Hy-tech Mushrooms	Sketch	July 12, 2017	July 3, 2018	
Taco Bell	Preliminary/Final Plan	April 26, 2018	July 25, 2018	

Development	Application	Date Received	5 Year Expiration	Planning Commission Approved	Board of Supervisors Approved
London Grove West	Approved Final Plan	December 22, 2010	May 25, 2019	April 27, 2011	August 10, 2011
Coventry Reserve	Approved Final Plan	August 1, 2016	March 8, 2023	February 28, 2018	March 8, 2018
Kaolin Mushrooms	Approved Final Plan	December 11, 2018	April 4, 2023	February 28, 2018	April 4, 2018

**ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made by Mr. Connors to adjourn the meeting at 8:30 p.m., seconded by Mr. Szakas and approved by a vote of 5-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Dawn Maciejczyk  
Township Secretary